

Comparative Effect of the Guided Discovery(GD) and Concept mapping Teaching Strategies on Senior Secondary School Students (SSSS) Chemistry Achievement in Nigeria

Oloyede Olufunlayo IKEDOLAPO^{a,1} and Adeoye Femi ADETUNJI^b

^aScience Education Programme, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi, Nigeria. ^bSchool of Education, National Open University of Nigeria, Lagos, Nigeria.

Abstract

The study compared the relative effectiveness of the guided discovery and concept mapping teaching strategies in relation to students' performance in chemistry. A total of 360 SSS chemistry students who have registered for SSCE drawn from 4 secondary schools in Bauchi Local Government Area formed the sample for the study. A 40-item Chemistry Teacher Made Achievement Test (CMAT) with characteristics $(0.35 \le + \le 0.72; 0.21 \le d \le 1.00 \text{ and KR } 20 = 0.86)$ was administered on the students to generate data for analysis. The test items span all the intellectual levels of the cognitive domain. There were (retention) treatments. A t-test statistic was used to analyse the data obtained. The results indicated that there is no significant difference in he mean scores of he students due to the method post -post test (retention) treatments. There was also no significant difference due to gender when the mean scores of the students in each of the methods adopted were compared on the post-post test (retention) treatment.

Keywords

Guided discovery, Concept mapping, Chemistry achievement,

Introduction

Chemistry is a very important subject as its knowledge is required for the successful study in very many important professions. Because of this importance, chemistry is occupying a pride of place in the senior secondary School curriculum. It is therefore necessary that students studying chemistry should understand the subject so that they can apply their knowledge to their everyday interaction with people and their ever changing environment.

Therefore, the chemistry teacher should adopt methods that would enable the students to understand whatever concepts, topics or principles that are being taught. There are a variety of methods for teaching chemistry viz-project, field trip, exposition, demonstration, experimental and the guided discovery strategy. All these methods rely on various forms of teacher-student activities. However, some are more activity oriented than others. The Guided Discovery (GD) has been recommended for teaching the contents of Senior Secondary School (SSS) chemistry curriculum (FGN NPE, 2004). This approach is activity oriented for both the students and the teacher. It applies abundantly the principle of effective questioning appropriate directives, demonstration by the teacher, high quantity and quality student activities (laboratory work, field trip, class discussion).

¹ Corresponding author: E-mail: oloyedeoi@yahoo.com

In all these, the students accumulate the products of science by vigorously engaging in various processes of science (Demide, 2000). In the guided discovery approach, the students are active participants in the teaching-learning situation and so they actually do chemistry, and not just being taught about chemistry. To make the strategy effective, Teacher vacation courses (TVC) were organized by the authorities that be to put the teacher up to date in respect of the role(s) they should play while employing the G.D approach.

Despite these improvement in the training of the chemistry teacher and his teaching capabilities, students achievement in chemistry continue to be low (WAEC Chief Examiners report for Senior Secondary School Certificate Examination for the year 2000–2007 indicate this).

Oloyede (2004) identified that one of the reasons for this low performance is the methods used by the teachers.

From the above discussion, it is clear that there is need to seek other methods of teaching chemistry. One of such teaching strategy is concept mapping. In this strategy concept is regarded as the key to learning.

Inomiesa (1997) defined a concept as an idea generalized from particular relevant experience. Concept mapping teaching lessons involves class discussion practical demonstration and concept mapping activities. During such lessons the relationship between concepts are concretely established as such lessons proceed either from general to specific ideas or from specific to general ideas.

Quoting Ault (1983), Udeani (1993) outlined the steps involved in concept mapping as follows

- i) Select an item for mapping. This could be an important text, passage, laboratory background materials;
- ii) Choose and underline key words or phrases, include objects and events in the list
- iii) Rank the list of concepts from the most abstract and inclusive to the most concrete and specific
- iv) Cluster the concepts according to two criteria concepts that function at similar level of abstraction and concepts that inter relate closely
- v) Arrange the concepts as a two dimensional array analogous to a road map. Each concept is in effect, a potential destination for understanding. Its route is defined by other concepts in the neighboring territory.
- vi) Link related concepts with lines and label each line in propositional or prepositional form.

A well constructed and competed concept, map thus show the clear relationship between various sets of concepts and thus basic relationship is well communicated to other persons.

Various science educators (Cubum 1987, Okebukola 1990, Inomiesa 1997) have compared the concept mapping strategy with other teaching methods and found that the concept mapping teaching strategy improved students performance more than these other methods. This study is thus meant to compare the G.D teaching strategy in terms of students achievement.

Research Questions

The major research studies connected with this study are:

OLOYEDE and ADEOYE

- (i) Is there any significance difference in performance of SSS chemistry students taught using GD strategy and those taught using the concept mapping strategy?
- (ii) Is there any significance difference in retention of chemical materials taught to students using the GD strategy and the concept mapping strategy?
- (iii) Is there any significance difference in retention of male and female students taught using the GD strategy?
- (iv) Is there any significance difference in retention of male and female taught using the concept mapping strategy?

Hypotheses

 Ho_1 There is no significance difference between the pretest means score of students taught using guided discovery approach and those taught using the concept mapping strategy.

 Ho_2 There is no significance difference between the post test mean score of students taught using the guided discovery approach and those taught using the concept mapping strategy.

Ho₃ There is no significance difference in the level of retention of chemical materials by students taught using the concept mapping strategy

Ho₄ There is no significance difference in the level of retention of chemical materials taught between male and female students using the Guided Discovery and concept mapping strategy

Methodology

Sample – Four schools were selected from the twenty three (230 schools in Bauchi Local Government Area. The criteria for selection are:-

- i) Presence of a laboratory where meaningful teaching learning activities could be carried and
- ii) Quality of teachers teaching chemistry. Only professionally qualified teachers were used. The aim of the above is to be certain that instructions proceeds as required and directed.

All the students who registered for Senior Secondary Certificate examination in chemistry and were attending classes in each of the selected schools were chosen as subjects for the study. The schools and the students therein were then randomly assigned to the guided discovery and concept mapping strategies. Thus all the students who registered for SSS chemistry examination during 2006/2007 school year were subjects for this study. Thus all shades of students were accommodated in the samples. The distribution of students is as shown on Table 1 below

School	Teaching method Used	No of males	No of females	Total
1	Guided Discovery	48	30	78
2	Guided Discovery	50	42	92
3	Concept mapping	35	37	72
4	Concept mapping	65	53	118
	Total	198	162	360

Table 1: Distribution of subjects for the Study

Eurasian J. Phys. Chem. Educ. 1(2) 86-92, 2009

Thus, for the guided discovery there were 98 males and 72 females students. In the concept mapping there were 100 male students and 90 female students. So for the whole study, 170 students were taught using the guided discovery strategy while the corresponding number for the concept mapping strategy is 190.

Instrument – This was Chemistry Teacher Made Achievement Test (CMAT), CMAT was constructed by the researcher and the test items covered the area "orbitrals and electronic structure of the atom" Thee subcontents contained in this broad outline are

- (a) Electronic Structure of the atom
 - Nature of light
 - Light as a wave function
 - Light as a form of energy
 - The simplest spectrum (hydrogen)
- (b) Quantum Mechanics (historical) Orbitals and the principal quantum number Shapes of s and p orbitals
- (c) Arrangement of electrons in the energy levels, main levels, sub-levels electron spins (FME, 1985).

Sixty (60) objective items of SSCE type were set on the above content area and were given to 100 SSS chemistry students to answer. These were scored and using the split-half method, the facility and discrimination indices were calculated. The reliability index was calculated using Kuder-Richardson formula 20 (K-R 20). Forty (40) items were selected and used for the study based on the following criteria

- (i) Acceptance of items as effectively covering the content area (face validity) by a team of two (2) science educators and two experts in measurement and evaluation
- (ii) Facility index of $0.35 \le f \le 0.72$
- (iii) Discrimination index of $0.21 \le d \le 1.00$
- (iv) Reliability (K-R 20) =0.86

The items are distributed among the six intellectual levels of the cognitive domain thus;-Knowledge -10, comprehension -10, application 10, analysis 5, synthesis 3, evaluation 2

Design: Pretest –post test design was used for this study. This was to make it possible for equivalent groups to be compared.

Procedure:- A pre test was administered on the two groups. This was to determine the entry behavior of the students in terms of what they already know about what is to be taught and to determine whether or not the two groups to be compared are equivalent. Thereafter the teachers assigned to the carious groups were made to teach the students using the appropriate method for the group. The teaching was based on lessons certified by three science teachers as being appropriate for the two different teaching strategies. There was however ample opportunities in the 50 prepared notes of lessons for the various teachers to display their individual creative capabilities. The teaching period lasted for three weeks. In each of the schools used for the study, chemistry classes are held three and each lesson lasted for 40 minutes.

At the end of teaching period, a post test was administered to test the instructional effectiveness of the two methods. Then four weeks later, a post- post test was administered on the students. The post-post test was meant to determine the amount of content materials the students were able to retain after a period of four weeks. The same CMAT test items were used

OLOYEDE and ADEOYE

for post test and post-post test. The only minor difference was the serial rearrangement of the items when it was time to administer the post -post test.

Data was analyzed using the t-test statistics was used to test the null hypotheses at 5% level of confidence.

Results

Below is the result of the study

Hypothesis 1: There is no significant difference between the pretest mean score of students taught using the guided discovery approach and those taught using the concept mapping strategy.

Table 2: Analysis of pretest.

	No of students	Range of score	Mean score	S.D	t-calc	t critical	Decision
Guided Discovery	170	20	17.37	4.11	1.42	1.96	NS
Concept mapping	190	23	17.80	4.09			

Table 2 shows that there is no significant difference between the two groups of students when a pretest was administered. Ho₁ is accepted. Hence the two groups are equivalent at the starting point of the study.

Hypothesis 2: There is no significant difference between the post test mean score of the students taught using guided discovery and those taught using the concept mapping strategy.

Method	No of	Range	Mean	S.D	t-calc	t critical	Decision
	students	of score	score				
Guided	170	20	21.59	4.46	1.25	1.96	NS
Discovery	170	20	21.39	4.40	1.23	1.90	INS
Concept mapping	190	23					Ho ₂ accepted

Table 3: Analysis of post test performance of students.

Table 3 above shows that t-cal is less than the t-critical which means that there is no significant difference in the mean score performance of students taught using the two approaches. Hypothesis two is therefore accepted.

Hypothesis 3: There is no significant difference in the level of retention of chemical materials by students taught using the guided Discovery method and those taught using the concept mapping strategy.

Eurasian J. Phys. Chem. Educ. 1(2) 86-92, 2009

Method	No of students	Range of	Mean score	S.D	t-calc	t critical	Decision
	students	score					
Guided Discovery	170	20	21.22	4.21	2.82	1.96	Significant
Concept mapping	190	19	19.55	4.19			

Table 4: Analysis of Post- post test (Retention) performance of students.

Table 4 shows that there is a significant difference at 5% level of confidence in the level of retention of both groups of students. This difference is in favor of students taught using concept mapping strategy. Therefore hypothesis 3 is rejected.

Hypothesis 4: There is no significant difference in the level of retention of chemical materials taught between male and female students using the Guided Discovery and Concept Mapping Strategy.

Method	Gender	No of	Range	Mean	S.D	t-cal	t-ctit	Decision
		students	of score	Score				
Guided	Male	98	20	21.17	4.60	1.02		NS
Discovery	Female	72	21	21.68	4.34		1.96	
Concept	Male	100	21	20.16	5.21	0.87		
mapping	Female	96	19	20.75	5.06		1.96	

Table 5: Analysis of Students Performance in post-post tests (Retention) by Gender.

Table 5 showed that there is no significant difference in students level of retention by gender hence Ho_4 is accepted

Discussion and Implication of the Study

Tables 3 and 4 show that there is some difference between the means obtained during pretest and those obtained during post test. That is the students improved on their performance after being subjected to both the guided discovery and concept mapping teaching strategies. Table 4 also showed that there is a significant difference in the retention of the two groups in favour of the students taught using concept mapping. This result is in agreement with Okebukola (1990) and Udeani (1993).

Table 5 shows that there is no significant difference on the basis of gender in respect of the two teaching strategies. This finding is in agreement with Oloyede (1997) who found no significance differences in students' science achievement by gender.

The implication of this study is that both the guided discovery strategy and the concept mapping strategy are equally powerful in terms of improving students' performance in chemistry. Students taught using concept mapping is however likely to retain chemistry information better. It is therefore recommended that both teaching strategies should be used to

OLOYEDE and ADEOYE

teach chemistry and while doing this no special attention may be attached to the gender of the students concerned.

References

- Cliburn, J.W. (Jr) (1987). How to do it Helping students understand Physiological Interaction: a concept mapping activity. *The American Biology Teacher*, 49 (7), 426 -427
- Demide, C.O.(2000). Enhancing the Transition from concrete to formal cognitive level of Achievement in Chemistry. An unpublished M.Ed Thesis, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi.
- Federal Government of Nigeria (2000-2007). National Policy on Education. Federal Ministry of Education (1985). Chemistry curriculum for Senior Secondary School. Inomiesa, E.A (1997). What is Science?
- Okoye, N.S., Inomiesa, E.A, Umudhe, S.E. and Ezenweani, U.I. Science and Technology Education, About Research publishers, Warri.
- Okebukola, P.A.O. (1990). Attaining meaningful learning of concept of genetics and ecology; and examination of concept mapping techniques. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 27, (5) 493-504
- Oloyede, O.I. (2004). Effect of Small group Instructional Techniques on chemistry Achievement of SSS slow learners *ABUJOUS*, 2 (2).
- Udeani, U. (1993). The effect of concept mapping Instructional techniques or the biology attainment of Senior Secondary School, slow learner *Review of Education*, 13 Pp 1-9
- West African Examination Council (2000 2007). Chief Examiner Report in the May/June Ordinary level SSSCE.